IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

FRIDAY, THE 13TH APRIL 2007 / 23RD CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 12299 of 2005(L)

PETITIONER:

---

1. V.DEEPAN, S/O. VASUDEVAN PILLAI,
   KALPAKA, EREZHE SOUTH, CHETTIKULANGARA,
   MAVELIKKARA, ALAPPUZHA DISTRICT.

2. T.AJITH, S/O. PURUSHOTHAMAN,
   THALIYIL HOUSE, KOVVAPPURAM, KUNHIMANGALAM,
   KANNUR DISTRICT. (PETITIONERS ARE NOW WORKING AS
   JUNIOR HEALTH INSPECTOR, GRADE-II, IN MUNICIPAL
   COMMON SERVICE).

BY ADV. SRI.ANCHAL C.VIJAYAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE STATE OF KERALA - REPRESENTED BY ITS
   SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF LOCAL
   ADMINISTRATION, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DIRECTOR OF URBAN AFFAIRS,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE KOCHI CORPORATION,
   REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, KOCHI.

R1, R2 BY GOVT. PLEADER SRI.O.P.NANDAKUMAR
R3 BY ADV. SRI.NOBLE MATHEW

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/04/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX IN WPC.12299/05

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B4-3926/02 DATED 17.3.2003 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.42/03 DATED 31/3/03 ISSUED BY THE MEDICAL OFFICER INCHARGE, PRIMARY HEALTH CENTRE KOTTARAKARA, KOLLAM.

EXT.P3: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.K.DIS-A2/18758/00 DATED 19.8.2000 ISSUED BY THE DISTRICT MEDICAL OFFICER OF KANNUR.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.P.D.6/11822/02 DATED 15.7.02 ISSUED BY THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.B4-8895/03 DATED 21.10.03 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P6:TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.B4-6809/04 DATED 16.11.04 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT TO THE 3RD RESPONDENT.

EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DATED 19.7.06 IN W.P(C).25715/05.

EXT.P8: TRUE COPY OF THE G.O.(ORD) 3148/LSGD DATED 6.12.06 ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

/TRUE COPY/

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C).No.12299 of 2005

==========

Dated this the 13th day of April, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Heard.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are persons similar to those who availed the benefit of Ext.P8 order.

Hence this writ petition is disposed of directing that, if the writ petitioners make appropriate representation for any such benefit, the same shall be extended untrammelled by anything stated in this judgment.

THOTTATHIL B. RADHAKRISHNAN,
JUDGE.

sj